

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION ?	NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,928	10/622,928 07/18/2003		Subhashis Banerjee	BPI-191	3578	
959	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
	E & COCKI	FIELD	BLANCHARD, DAVID J			
	TE STREET N. MA 0210	09		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1643		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ication No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Commence		10/622,928		BANERJEE ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	·					
	·	David J. Blanchar	ď	1643						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag	oril 2004.								
, —-	•	action is non-fina	l.							
• —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) 🗀	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌										
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)					

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a method of treating a metabolic disorder comprising administering a TNF- α antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 145.1.
 - II. Claims 25-26 drawn to a kit comprising a TNF- α antibody classified in class 530, subclass 388.23.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - (a) obesity
 - (b) type 1 diabetes mellitus
 - (c) type 2 diabetes mellitus
 - (d) diabetic retinopathy
 - (e) diabetic ulcerations
 - (f) neuropathy
 - (g) retinopathy ulcerations
 - (h) peripheral neuropathy
 - (i) diabetic macrovasculopathy

The species are distinct in having different etiologies and different effects.

If applicant elects the invention of Group I, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to further elect a single disclosed species (i.e., one of species (a)-(i)) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Art Unit: 1643

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can
be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process
for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different
product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Art Unit: 1643

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group II can be used to purify the antigen in addition to the materially different method of Group I, which differ in the method steps, parameters, reagents used and endpoints.

Page 4

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised

Application/Control Number: 10/622,928

Art Unit: 1643

that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Blanchard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0827. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/622,928

Art Unit: 1643

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 6

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully, David J. Blanchard 571-272-0827

That Blh